



BOY Instructional Planning & Delivery Across the Tiers for Leaders



Agenda

- 1. Welcome and Introduction
- 2. Tiered Instruction and mCLASS
- 3. Instructional Materials and Pedagogy
- 4. Tier 1 Whole Group Instructional Planning
- 5. Tier 2 Instructional Planning
- 6. Tier 3 Instructional Planning
- 7. Wrap Up and Next Steps

Norms

- Participate actively
- Take care of yourself
- Manage your technology
- Stay engaged
- What is learned here, leaves here

Objectives

- Review the MTSS framework
- Reflect on previous student achievement and levels of success by tier
- Identify gaps in schoolwide data and contributing system-level levers
- Identify and prioritize strategic systems-level decisions that will support teachers and students in meeting schoolwide goals
- Work collaboratively to create an actionable schoolwide plan

Guiding Question

How can DIBELS data help my school plan for all tiers of instruction within this continuum of support?

What I know about the tiers

and some questions I have

Tier 1 Instruction

Tier 2 Instruction

Tier 3 Instruction

| Likelihood of Meeting Later Reading Goals | Benchmark Status | Benchmark Status Including Above Benchmark | What It Means |
|---|--|---|--|
| >99% | At or Above Benchmark | Above Benchmark overall likelihood of achieving subsequent early literacy goals: 90% to 99% | For students with scores in this range, the odds of achieving subsequent early literacy/reading goals are very good. These students likely need effective core instruction to meet subsequent early literacy/reading goals. Some students may benefit from instruction on more advanced skills. |
| 90% 80% | overall likelihood of achieving subsequent early literacy goals: 80% to 90% | At Benchmark overall likelihood of achieving subsequent early literacy goals: 70% to 85% | For students with scores in this range, the odds are in favor of achieving subsequent early literacy/reading goals. The higher above the benchmark goal, the better the odds. These students likely need effective core instruction to meet subsequent early literacy/reading goals. Some students may require monitoring and strategic support on specific component skills as needed. |
| 55% 45% | Below Benchmark overall likelihood of achieving subsequent early literacy goals: 40% to 60% | Below Benchmark overall likelihood of achieving subsequent early literacy goals: 40% to 60% | For students with scores in this range, the overall odds of achieving subsequent early literacy/reading goals are approximately even, and hard to predict. Within this range, the closer students' scores are to the benchmark goal, the better the odds; the closer students' scores are to the cut point, the lower the odds. These students likely need core instruction coupled with strategic support, targeted to their individual needs, to meet subsequent early literacy/reading goals. For some students whose scores are close to the benchmark goal, effective core instruction may be sufficient; students whose scores are close to the cut point may require more intensive support. |
| 40% 30% 10% <5% | Well Below Benchmark overall likelihood of achieving subsequent early literacy goals: 10% to 20% | Well Below Benchmark overall likelihood of achieving subsequent early literacy goals: 10% to 20% | For students with scores in this range, the overall odds of achieving subsequent early literacy/reading goals are low. These students likely need intensive support in addition to effective core instruction. These students may also need support on prerequisite skills (i.e., below grade level) depending upon the grade level and how far below the benchmark their skills are. |
| The addition of the Above Ben likelihood or higher). The highe "Overall likelihood" refers to th <i>Composite Score</i> document). Instructional decisions should DIBEL S is a registered trad | The addition of the Above Benchmark status level has not changed the benchmark goals. A benci likelihood or higher). The higher above the benchmark goal the student scores, the better the odd: "Overall likelihood" refers to the approximate percentage of students within the category who ach <i>Composite Score</i> document). Instructional decisions should be made based on students' patterns of performance across all m Instructional decisions should be made based on students' patterns of performance across all m <i>DIBELS is a registered trademark of Dynamic Measurement Group, Inc. http://dibels.org/</i> | e benchmark goals. A benchmark goal is s it scores, the better the odds. For students within the category who achieve later goals of performance across all measures, in add oup, Inc. http://dibels.org/ | The addition of the Above Benchmark status level has not changed the benchmark goals. A benchmark goal is still the point at which the odds are in the student's favor of meeting later reading goals (approximately 60% likelihood or higher). The higher above the benchmark goal the student scores, the better the odds. For students who are already at benchmark, the Above Benchmark status level also provides a higher goal to aim for. "Overall likelihood" refers to the approximate percentage of students within the category who achieve later goals, although the exact percentage varies by grade, year, and measure (see <i>DIBELS Next Benchmark Goals and Composite Score</i> document). Instructional decisions should be made based on students' patterns of performance across all measures, in addition to other available information on student skills, such as diagnostic assessment or in-class work. <i>DIBELS is a registered trademark of Dynamic Measurement Group, Inc. http://dibels.org/</i> |

Table 1. Likelihood of Meeting Later Reading Goals and DIBELS[®] Next Benchmark Status

Trends by Year: Analysis

Run a Comparing Measures Report:

- 1. Click on the Reporting Tile
- 2. Select View My Data, then mCLASS: DIBELS Next
- 3. Select a Comparing Measures Report.
- 4. Adjust your parameters as follows:
 - Segment results by: school
 - Grade Divider ON
 - Select Grades: K -3
 - School Years: 2017-2018, 2018-2019, 2019-2020
 - Select only 17-18 BOY, 18-19 BOY, 19-20 BOY
 - Measure: Composite Score ONLY

Questions to Consider:

- When you think about Tier 2 instruction, do you currently see this number of strategic students?
- When you think about Tier 3 instruction, does this align to the percent of students you see who are provided with these intensive supports?
- How are accelerated students' needs being met?
- Does you see more students in particular grades receiving supports than others, in reality?
- How do your staffing and building walkthroughs relate to the data?

Year Over Year Composite Tiered Instruction Analysis

| Trends of Tiered Instruction | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--------|
| | Schoolwide | К | 1 | 2 | 3 | Trends |
| Tier 3 Focus: % of students who scored WBB(red) | SY17-18: SY18-19: | | | | | |
| | SY19-20: | | | | | |
| Tier 2 Focus: % of students who scored BB(yellow) | SY17-18: SY18-19: SY19-20: | | | | | |
| Tier 1 Focus: % of students who scored B(green) | SY17-18: SY18-19: SY19-20: | | | | | |
| Tiered Accelerated Focus: % of students who scored AB(blue) | SY17-18: SY18-19: SY19-20: | | | | | |

Trends Within Year: Analysis

Run a Correlation Report:

- 1. Click on the Reporting Tile
- 2. Select View My Data, then mCLASS: DIBELS Next
- 3. Select a Correlation Report.
- 4. Adjust your parameters as follows:
 - Segment results by: Grade
 - Results by Head Count
 - Select Grades: K -3
 - Measure 1: Composite Score, 2018-2019, BOY
 - Measure 2: Composite Score 2018-2019 EOY

Questions to Consider:

- Are certain grade levels stronger in Tier 3 or Tier 2 instruction?
- Is Tier 1 instruction strong across grade levels?
- Is one Tier of instruction stronger/weaker than the others?
- Is the remediation Tier 2 and 3 instruction working to close the achievement gap?

Within Year Composite Tiered Instruction Analysis

| Effectiveness of Tiered Instruction | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Data trends | Reflections on effectiveness of instruction: | | | |
| Tier 3 Focus: Describe movement of students who began the year WBB(red) | Schoolwide | | | | |
| | K | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | |
| Tier 2 Focus: Describe movement of students | Schoolwide | | | | |
| who began the year BB(yellow) | К | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | |

| | Data trends | Reflections on effectiveness of instruction: |
|--|-------------|--|
| Tier 1 Focus: Describe movement of students | Schoolwide | |
| who began the year B(benchmark) | K | |
| | 1 | |
| | 2 | |
| | 3 | |
| Tiered Accelerated Focus: Describe | Schoolwide | |
| movement of students who began the year AB(blue) | K | |
| | 1 | |
| | 2 | |
| | 3 | |

Smart Goals for Tiered Instruction

| Goal | |
|------|--|
| | |
| | |
| Goal | |
| | |
| | |
| Goal | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Goal | |
| | |
| | |
| Goal | |
| | |
| | |

Smart Goals for Tiered Instruction, Revised

Goal

Goal





Domain Strengths/ Challenges by Tier

| | Tier 3 Intensive Remediation | Tier 2 Strategic Remediation | Tier 1 Core Instruction | Tiered Acceleration | Overall Priority Rating 1 - 3 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Curriculum / Instruction | | | | | |
| Scheduling/ Time | | | | | |
| Staffing | | | | | |
| Assessment Practices | | | | | |
| Effective Data Review Processes | | | | | |
| Professional Development | | | | | |

System Domains



| Professional Development | Curriculum & Instruction (All Tiers) | Scheduling & Time |
|---|---|---|
| Resource Training Has there been sufficient training on all resources? Core materials Intervention materials Data systems Content Knowledge Do school leaders have a deep knowledge of early literacy skills? Does instructional staff have a deep knowledge of early literacy skills and how to teach struggling students? What is the expectation for using PLC meetings for professional development? Pedagogical Knowledge What co-planning structures are expected and communicated? How are district coaches providing aligned supports? How are district coach resources aligned and normed? What is the observation/ feedback cycle for principal supervisors, school leaders, and teachers? | Materials Is core instruction supporting all students staying on track and aligned to grade level standards? Which interventions are helping students increase achievement and close their gaps? (or not) Are any groups of students losing ground throughout the year? Planning What are instructional planning expectations for teachers? How is lesson planning transparent to school leaders? How are support staff aligning with classroom teachers? Pedagogy What pedagogical routines and instructional strategies are expected? Do all teachers use Gradual Release of Responsibility when delivering instruction? What supports are in place for teachers to strengthen pedagogy? | Literacy Block Time How much time is being spent daily on comprehension vs. phonics/decoding vs. writing? How much time is being spent in whole group vs. small group? Intervention Routines Are all students that need intervention receiving it? Are students in the correct intervention? How consistently are intervention routines occurring? (impacted by field trips, assemblies, etc.?) |

How have these expectations been communicated to school building leaders and teachers? What are the routines in place to ensure alignment between district/ school buildings/ classrooms?

System Domains



| Effective Data Review Process | Assessment Practices | Staffing |
|---|--|--|
| Review Routines What is expectation for instructional staff to review current data? Frequency? Protocols? Outcomes? Are district staff/ school leaders/ teachers able to identify trends? How is the data used to inform policies and next steps? District-level School level Classroom level Data Communication How is data transferred? Transient students? Out of district students? For the next grade's teacher? Are all adults supporting a child aware of the changes in data and expectations? Support staff? Parents? | Reliability and Validity Is assessment staff adequately trained on administering the assessment? What are assessment environments? Are completion rates high enough to draw conclusions about the population? Progress Monitoring What is the expectation for frequency of progress monitoring? Do teachers use progress monitoring data to plan intentional instruction? Are students that have not mastered a particular skill progress monitored below grade level? Are students assessed on both offgrade level and on grade level measures when appropriate? What is the monitoring/ feedback system at the district, school, teacher level? | Qualifications • Are the most highly qualified teachers leading intervention groups? • Are the teachers with the deepest early literacy content knowledge teaching K-2? • Are recruiting efforts and interview process rigorous? Work Orgs Aligned with Needs • Does the allocation of supplemental staff support instructional needs of all students (in both core and intervention)? • Is district organizational staff structure aligned to district needs? • Are student: adult ratios appropriate? Supports • How are staff supported? • School leaders • Teachers • Volunteers |

How have these expectations been communicated to school building leaders and teachers? What are the routines in place to ensure alignment between district/ school buildings/ classrooms?

| Action Step | Person/People Responsible | Date to be Completed | Evidence of Completion |
|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Action Step | Person/People Responsible | Date to be Completed | Evidence of Completion |
|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Action Step | Person/People Responsible | Date to be Completed | Evidence of Completion |
|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Reflection



What are **three** important **points** you have learned?

What is something that **squared** with what you already knew?



What is an idea or question that is still **circling** in your head?



Register at https://tinyurl.com/CDE-Regional

mCLASS: DIBELS Next 2019-2020 Regional Professional Development Scheduled Dates by Region

| Region | Data Analysis for Leaders | Data Analysis for Teachers | Instructional Planning and Delivery for Leaders | Instructional Planning and Delivery for Teachers |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| Metro Area Host: 27J Schools | BOY: 9/23/19 MOY: 2/3/20 EOY: 5/18/20 | BOY: 9/24/19 MOY: 2/4/20 EOY: 5/19/20 | BOY: 9/30/19 MOY: 2/5/20 | BOY: 10/1/19 MOY: 2/6/20 |
| North Central Host: Greeley | BOY: 9/25/19 MOY: 1/30/20 EOY: 5/27/20 | BOY: 9/26/19 MOY: 1/31/20 EOY: 5/28/20 | BOY: 10/3/19 MOY: 2/6/20 | BOY: 10/4/19 MOY: 2/7/20 |
| Northeast: Host: NE BOCES | BOY: 9/23/19 MOY: 1/23/20 EOY: 5/26/20 | BOY: 9/24/19 MOY: 1/24/20 EOY: 5/27/20 | Not available | Not available |
| Northwest: Host: Eagle | BOY: 9/16/19 MOY: 2/3/20 EOY: 5/19/20 | BOY: 9/18/19 MOY: 2/5/20 EOY: 5/20/20 | Not available | Not available |
| Pikes Peak Host: Colorado Springs | BOY: 9/17/19 MOY: 1/28/20 EOY: 5/20/20 | BOY: 9/18/19 MOY: 1/29/20 EOY: 5/21/20 | BOY: 9/19/19 MOY: 1/30/20 | BOY: 9/19/19 MOY: 1/30/20 |
| Southeast Host: SE BOCES | BOY: 9/20/19 MOY: 1/31/20 EOY: 5/29/20 | BOY: 9/27/19 MOY: 2/7/20 EOY: 5/22/20 | Not available | Not available |
| Southwest: Host: SLV BOCES | BOY: 9/23/19 MOY: 2/3/20 EOY: 5/18/20 | BOY: 9/24/19 MOY: 2/4/20 EOY: 5/19/20 | Not available | Not available |
| West Central: Host: Montrose | BOY: 9/26/19 MOY: 2/10/20 EOY: 5/18/20 | BOY: 9/27/19 MOY: 2/11/20 EOY: 5/18/20 | Not available | Not available |

Resources and Support

Amplify

Email: help@amplify.com any time Phone: (800) 823-1969, Monday – Friday from 5 a.m. – 5 p.m. MT Live Chat: mCLASS homepage

Web resources

- www.amplify.com/colorado
- mclass.amplify.com
- www.cde.state.co.us/coloradoliteracy